

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

P. von Bergen

Bern University of Applied Sciences

March 27, 2013

- 1 Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion, Hao, Ryan, Zieliński 2008
- 2 A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast, Khader, Smyth, Ryan, Hao 2012

- 1 Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion, Hao, Ryan, Zieliński 2008
- 2 A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast, Khader, Smyth, Ryan, Hao 2012

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Base concepts

- Boardroom elections
- No trusted parties
- All communication is public
- Two rounds
  - 1 Key publication
  - 2 Vote
- Challenges
  - ▶ Ballot secrecy
  - ▶ Self-tallying
  - ▶ Dispute-freeness

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Preparation

- Cyclic group  $(G, \cdot)$  of prime order  $q$ , where Diffie-Hellman problem is intractable
- $g$  is a generator in  $G$
- The  $n$  participants agree on  $(G, g)$
- Each participant  $P_i$  select a value as secret:  $x_i \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$
- Each participant  $P_i$  computes  $a_i = g^{x_i} \bmod p$
- Each participant  $P_i$  prove the knowledge of  $x_i$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Round 1

- Each participant  $P_i$  publishes  $a_i$  and its ZKP

### At the end of round 1

- Each participant  $P_i$  checks the validity of the ZKPs
- Each participant  $P_i$  computes

$$h_i = g^{y_i} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j}{\prod_{j=i+1}^n a_j} = g^{(x_1 + \dots + x_{i-1}) - (x_{i+1} + \dots + x_n)} \quad (1)$$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Round 2

- Each participant  $P_i$  publishes  $b_i = h_i^{x_i} g^{v_i} = g^{x_i y_i} g^{v_i}$
- Each participant  $P_i$  compute and publish a ZKP showing that  $v_i \in \{1, 0\}$  (1 for yes, 0 for no)

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Tallying

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i = \prod_{i=1}^n g^{x_i y_i} g^{v_i} = g^{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i}$$

Because

$$\prod_{i=1}^n g^{x_i y_i} = 1$$

### Proof

$$\prod_{i=1}^n g^{x_i y_i} = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = 0$$

By definition (from (1))

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n x_j$$



# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Proof (continued)

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_i x_j - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n x_i x_j \\ &= \sum_{j < i} x_i x_j - \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j \\ &= \sum_{j < i} x_i x_j - \sum_{j < i} x_j x_i \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Protocol

### Tallying (continued)

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b_i = \prod_{i=1}^n g^{x_i y_i} g^{v_i} = g^{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i}$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i$  is the number of yes votes denoted  $\gamma$ .

The discrete logarithm  $g^\gamma$  can be computed, since  $\gamma$  is normally a small number. We can use the baby-step giant-step algorithm.

The ZKPs have also to be verified.

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Knowledge of discrete logs

## ZKP round 1: Schnorr's signature

Prove knowledge for the exponent of  $g^{x_i}$ :

- $H$ : publicly agreed hash function
- Prover computes and sends  $(g^v, r)$  where  $r = v - x_i z$ ,  $v \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$  and  $z = H(g, g^v, g^{x_i}, i)$
- Verifier checks if  $g^v$  and  $g^r g^{x_i z}$  are equal

## Proof

$$\begin{aligned}g^v &= g^r \cdot g^{x_i z} \\ &= g^{v - x_i z} \cdot g^{x_i z} \\ &= \frac{g^v}{g^{x_i z}} \cdot g^{x_i z} \\ &= g^v\end{aligned}$$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Disjunctive proof of equality between discrete logs

## ZKP round 2: CDS

Prove that the  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ :

- Convert terms of the protocol in a ElGamal encryption
- $h_i = g^{y_i}$  becomes the public key and  $x_i$  the randomization
- $(a, b) = (g^{x_i}, (g^{y_i})^{x_i} g^{v_i})$  where  $g^{v_i} = 1$  or  $g^{v_i} = g$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Disjunctive proof of equality between discrete logs

## Sign

- Given  $(a, b)$  and  $v_i$
- For all  $k \in \{0, 1\} \setminus v_i$
- $c_k \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ ,  $s_k \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ ,  $w \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q^*$
- $a_k = \frac{g^{s_k}}{a^{c_k}}$ ,  $b_k = \frac{h_i^{s_k}}{\left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_k}}$
- Witnesses:  $a_v = g^w$  and  $b_v = h_i^w$
- Challenge:  $c_v = H(a, b, a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1) - \sum_{i \in \{0,1\} \setminus v_i} c_i$
- Response:  $s_v = w + x_i \cdot c_v$
- Output signature  $(a_k, b_k, c_k, s_k)$  for all  $k \in \{0, 1\}$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Disjunctive proof of equality between discrete logs

## Verify

- Given  $(a, b)$  and  $(a_0, b_0, c_0, s_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, s_1)$
- For each  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , check if  $g^{s_k} = a_k \cdot a^{c_k}$  and  $h_i^{s_k} = b_k \cdot (b/g^k)^{c_k}$
- Check if  $H(a, b, a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1) = \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}} c_k$

This signature scheme can be extended to multiple choices.

This signature scheme also includes a challenge  $c_v$  which acts as a computationally binding commitment to values  $a$  and  $b$ , but it is not used in the above protocol.

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Disjunctive proof of equality between discrete logs

## Proof

$$\begin{aligned}g^{s_v} &= a_v \cdot a^{c_v} \\g^{w+x_i \cdot c_v} &= g^w \cdot a^{c_v} \\ &= g^w \cdot g^{x_i c_v}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}h_i^{s_v} &= b_v \cdot \left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_v} \\h_i^{w+x_i \cdot c_v} &= h_i^w \cdot \left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_v} \\g^{y_i w+x_i y_i \cdot c_v} &= g^{y_i w} \cdot \left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_v} \\g^{y_i w+x_i y_i \cdot c_v} &= g^{y_i w} \cdot \left(\frac{g^{x_i y_i} \cdot g^{v_i}}{g^k}\right)^{c_v} \quad \text{with } g^k = g^{v_i}\end{aligned}$$

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

Zero knowledge proofs: Disjunctive proof of equality between discrete logs

## Proof (continued)

$$\begin{aligned}g^{s_k} &= a_k \cdot a^{c_k} \\ &= \frac{g^{s_k}}{a^{c_k}} \cdot a^{c_k}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}h_i^{s_k} &= b_k \cdot \left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_k} \\ &= \frac{h_i^{s_k}}{\left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_k}} \cdot \left(\frac{b}{g^k}\right)^{c_k}\end{aligned}$$



# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Extension to multiple candidates

- For elections with only 2 candidates, the same protocol can be used, instead of sending 'yes/no', one simply sends 'A/B'.
- For more candidates, a possibility would be to run the single-candidate protocol in parallel for  $k$  candidates
- Another way if each voter is only permitted to choose one candidate is following:
  - ▶  $k$  independent generator are used (one for each candidate)
  - ▶ in second round  $P_i$  sends  $g^{x_i y_i} \cdot q_i$  with a ZKP that  $\rho_i \in \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k\}$
  - ▶ tallying:  $\prod_{i=1}^n g^{x_i y_i} \cdot q_i = g_1^{c_1} \cdot g_2^{c_2} \dots g_k^{c_k}$  where  $c_1$  to  $c_k$  are the counts of votes for the  $k$  candidates correspondingly

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Challenges

- Ballot secrecy:
  - ▶ ballot is encrypted with ElGamal  $(g^{x_i}, (g^{x_i})^{y_i} \cdot g^{v_i})$
  - ▶  $y_i$  is unknown to attackers as it is computed from all  $x_j$  which is a random value in  $\mathbb{Z}_q$
  - ▶ under the decisional Diffie-Hellman assumption, an attacker cannot distinguish the encrypted ballot from a random group element.
  - ▶ zero knowledge proofs don't reveal any information more than intended
- Self-tallying: as we have seen, this requirement is satisfied.
- Dispute freeness: as the channel is public and authenticated, each voter can verify that the other voters followed the protocol. Moreover, the Zero Knowledge Proofs proves the respect of the rules.

# Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion

## Problems

- The last voter knows the result before other voters  $\Rightarrow$  no fairness
- If a voter aborts in the second round, she disrupts the election  $\Rightarrow$  no robustness

- 1 Anonymous voting by two-round public discussion, Hao, Ryan, Zieliński 2008
- 2 A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast, Khader, Smyth, Ryan, Hao 2012

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Base concepts

- Resolves the problems of HRZ10
- Adds a commitment round
- Allows to recover the result when a voter has aborted a round
- Three (four) rounds
  - 1 Setup
  - 2 Commitment
  - 3 Vote
  - 4 (Recovery)

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Commitment round (Second round)

- The computationally binding in CDS signature scheme (second ZKP) is used
- $b_i$  value is not published in commitment round
- $\Rightarrow$  So, no partial result can be compute before all voters have voted
- $b_i$  value is publish in the third round (Voting round)

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Recovery round

- If a voter refuses to vote, her  $b_i = g^{y_i x_i} \cdot g^{v_i}$  is not published
- $\prod_{i=1}^n b_i = g^{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i}$  can't be computed

So,

- let be  $L$  the set of voter that have published a valid vote
- each voter  $i \in L$  computes

$$\hat{h}_i = \frac{\prod_{j \in \{i+1, \dots, n\} \setminus L} a_j}{\prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, i-1\} \setminus L} a_j} = g^{\hat{y}_i}$$

- and publish  $\hat{h}^{x_i}$  with a ZKP that  $\log_g a_i = \log_{\hat{h}_i} \hat{h}_i^{x_i}$   
 $\Rightarrow \log_g g^{x_i} = \log_{\hat{h}_i} \hat{h}_i^{x_i}$

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Tallying

### Tallying

$$g^{\sum_{i \in L} v_i} = \prod_{i \in L} \hat{h}_i^{x_i} \cdot h_i^{x_i} \cdot g^{v_i} = \prod_{i \in L} \hat{h}_i^{x_i} \cdot b_i$$

where  $\sum_{i \in L} v_i$  is the number of yes.



# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Tallying

### Proof

$$g^{\sum_{i \in L} v_i} = \prod_{i \in L} g^{\hat{y}_i x_i} \cdot g^{y_i x_i} \cdot g^{v_i} = g^{\sum_{i \in L} x_i y_i + x_i \hat{y}_i} \cdot g^{\sum_{i \in L} v_i} = g^0 \cdot g^{\sum_{i \in L} v_i}$$

With

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^n x_j$$
$$\hat{y}_i = \sum_{j \in \{i+1, \dots, n\} \setminus L} x_j - \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, i-1\} \setminus L} x_j$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j \in L} (x_j y_j) + (x_j \hat{y}_j) = 0$$

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

Zero knowledge proof: Equality between discrete logs

- Goal: prove that  $\hat{h}^{x_i}$  has been computed correctly

$$\log_g g^{x_i} = \log_{\hat{h}_i} \hat{h}_i^{x_i}$$

- Sign:

- ▶ given  $g, \hat{h}_i, x_i$  select a random value  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$
- ▶ compute  $g' = g^w$  and  $\hat{h}'_i = \hat{h}_i^w$ , challenge  $c = H(g', \hat{h}'_i)$ , response  $s = w + c \cdot x_i$
- ▶ publish  $(g', \hat{h}'_i, s)$

- Verify:

- ▶ given  $g, \hat{h}_i, g^{x_i}, \hat{h}_i^{x_i}$  and signature  $(g', \hat{h}'_i, s)$  check if  $g^s = g' \cdot (g^{x_i})^c$  and  $\hat{h}_i^s = \hat{h}'_i \cdot (\hat{h}_i^{x_i})^c$  where  $c = H(g', \hat{h}'_i)$

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Summary

### 1 Setup Round

- ▶ choose  $x_i$
- ▶ compute and publish  $g^{x_i}$
- ▶ at the end of the round, compute  $g^{y_i} = h_i$

### 2 Commitment Round

- ▶ choose  $v_i$
- ▶ compute signature that  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$  which also works as commitment

### 3 Voting Round

- ▶ publish  $v_i$

### 4 Recovery Round if needed

- ▶ compute  $\hat{h}_i$

### 5 Tallying

# A Fair and Robust Voting System by Broadcast

## Summary

Added properties:

- Fairness
- Robustness